1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JUSTIN J. GILIO Assistant United States Attorney			
2				
3	2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721			
4	Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099			
5	i desimile. (33	7) 771 7077		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America			
7	Office States 0	1 / Micrica		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		CASE NO. 1:24-CR-00033-JLT-BAM	
12	Plaintiff,		STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER	
13	v.			
14	JOSE DUENAS ET AL.,		DATE: 10/9/2024	
15	Defendants.		TIME: 1:00 p.m. COURT: Hon. Barbara A. McAuliffe	
16				
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and			
18	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:			
19	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status conference on 10/9/2024.			
20	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until			
21	1/22/2025, and to exclude time between 10/9/2024, and 1/22/2025, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B			
22	(i) (ii), and (iv).			
23	3.	The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:	
24		a) The government has represent	sented that the discovery associated with this case	
25	includes wiretap recordings, thousands of pages of investigative reports, surveillance video,			
26	audio recordings, cell phone extractions, and other voluminous materials. All of this discovery			
27	has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.			
28	1	b) Counsel for defendants de	sires additional time consult with his/her client, review	

6

11

9

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

///

///

///

23

24

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

the voluminous discovery, conduct independent investigation, and pursue a potential pretrial resolution of the case.

- c) Counsel for defendants believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- d) The parties also need the additional time to negotiate pretrial resolutions and draft plea agreements.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of 10/9/2024 to 1/22/2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(i) (ii), and (iv) because it is so unusual or so complex, due to the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established by this section and because failure to grant the continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, would unreasonably deny the defendant or the Government continuity of counsel, or would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

2

Case 1:24-cr-00033-NODJ-BAM Document 75 Filed 09/24/24 Page 3 of 4

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the			
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial			
3	must commence.			
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
5				
6	D . 1 G 1 10 2024			
7	Dated: September 19, 2024	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney		
8				
9		/s/ JUSTIN J. GILIO JUSTIN J. GILIO Aggistent United States Attorney		
10		Assistant United States Attorney		
11	Dated: September 19, 2024	/s/ Nicholas Reyes		
12	Dated. September 19, 2024	Nicholas Reyes		
13		Counsel for Defendant JOSE DUENAS		
14				
15	Dated: September 10, 2024	/s/ Anthony Capozzi Anthony Capozzi		
16		Counsel for Defendant HECTOR DUENAS		
17				
18	Dated: September 19, 2024	/s/ Roger Wilson Roger Wilson		
19		Counsel for Defendant		
20		EDUARDA MENDOZA SANCHEZ		
21	Details Contamber 10, 2024	/-/ Starrage Constant		
22	Dated: September 19, 2024	/s/ Steven Crawford Steven Crawford		
23		Counsel for Defendant ANTHONY VARELA		
24				
25				
26				
27 28				
۷٥				

Case 1:24-cr-00033-NODJ-BAM Document 75 Filed 09/24/24 Page 4 of 4

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that the status conference is continued from October 9, 2024, to January 22, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 8 before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe. Time is excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(i) (ii), and (iv). IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **September 24, 2024**

1s/Barbara A. McAuliff

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE